Advancing Knowledge Management for the Administration of College of Education in Rivers State

Patience Ogechi Owen-Amadi (Ph.D)
Department of Educational Management and Planning
Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt
Patience.owen-amadi@uniport.edu.ng
08037566734

Uchegbue, Angela Ijeoma
Department of Curriculum Studies
and Educational Technology
Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt
angela.uchegbue@uniport.edu.ng
08033963585

https://doi.org/10.56201/ijee.v12.no.3.2026.pg515.528

Abstract
*This study investigated the influence of knowledge management on the administration of Colleges of Education in Rivers State, with a specific focus on gender differences in implicit, explicit, and tacit knowledge utilization among administrators. A descriptive survey research design was adopted, with a purposive sample of 74 administrators drawn from Colleges of Education in Rivers State. Data were collected using a researcher-developed instrument titled "Knowledge Management and Administration of Colleges of Education Questionnaire" (KMACEQ), structured on a four-point Likert scale. Mean, standard deviation, and z-test statistics were used for data analysis, with a mean criterion of 2.50 and a significance level of 0.05. Three hypotheses were tested, each examining whether significant differences existed between male and female administrators regarding the extent to which implicit, explicit, and tacit knowledge influenced institutional administration. The findings revealed that both male and female administrators agreed that all three knowledge dimensions significantly influenced administrative functioning, with aggregate mean scores consistently exceeding the criterion mean. However, the z-test analyses yielded calculated values of 0.69, 0.26, and 1.12 for implicit, explicit, and tacit knowledge, respectively, all falling below the critical value of 1.96, resulting in the acceptance of all three null hypotheses. These results indicate that gender is not a statistically significant differentiating factor in the influence of knowledge on administration. The study concludes that institutional culture, professional exposure, and standardized knowledge frameworks are more consequential determinants of administrative effectiveness than demographic characteristics. It is recommended that institutions strengthen gender-inclusive knowledge management systems, institutionalize structured onboarding programmes, and prioritize experiential factors over demographic variables in administrative development initiatives.***

Keywords: *Administrators, Explicit Knowledge, Implicit Knowledge, Knowledge management, and Tacit knowledge*

Introduction

Knowledge management has emerged as one of the most consequential frameworks for enhancing organizational effectiveness in the contemporary world, and its relevance to educational administration cannot be overstated. In an era characterized by rapid institutional change, increasing demand for accountability, and the growing complexity of educational governance, the capacity of administrators to systematically acquire, organize, share, and apply knowledge has become a critical determinant of institutional success. Colleges of Education, as pivotal institutions in the training and development of teachers at the foundational level of Nigeria's educational system, are particularly positioned to benefit from deliberate and strategic knowledge management practices that can advance the quality of their administration and overall institutional performance. The concept of knowledge management encompasses the processes through which organizations identify, capture, distribute, and effectively utilize both documented and undocumented forms of knowledge to achieve their goals. Omotayo (2021) observed that in educational institutions, knowledge management serves as a strategic tool that enables administrators to leverage organizational intelligence, streamline decision-making processes, and foster a culture of continuous institutional learning. When properly implemented, knowledge management frameworks equip educational administrators with the cognitive and operational resources necessary to respond effectively to the dynamic challenges that characterize the governance of tertiary institutions in Nigeria. This is particularly significant in the context of Colleges of Education in Rivers State, where administrative effectiveness is central to the fulfillment of their mandate of producing competent, professionally trained educators. Rivers State Colleges of Education, like many similar institutions across Nigeria, operate within environments shaped by diverse administrative demands, including curriculum oversight, staff management, student welfare, regulatory compliance, and community engagement. Amadi and Cookey (2022) noted that the administration of Colleges of Education in Rivers State faces persistent challenges related to inadequate institutionalization of knowledge-sharing practices, over-reliance on individual administrative expertise, and the absence of structured mechanisms for preserving and transferring institutional knowledge across administrative generations. These challenges underscore the urgent need to advance knowledge management practices that can bridge existing gaps and position these institutions for sustained administrative excellence. Scholars have consistently identified three principal dimensions of knowledge that are relevant to organizational administration, namely implicit, explicit, and tacit knowledge. While explicit knowledge refers to codified, documented information that is easily transferable, implicit and tacit knowledge represent deeper, experientially derived forms of understanding that are often embedded in the practices, intuitions, and professional judgments of experienced administrators (Nwosu & Obasi, 2023). Chukwu and Peterside (2024) argued that the failure of many Nigerian educational institutions to harness these diverse knowledge forms strategically has significantly undermined administrative efficiency and institutional development. Advancing knowledge management in Colleges of Education therefore requires a holistic approach that integrates all dimensions of knowledge into coherent administrative frameworks. It is against this backdrop that this study seeks to examine how knowledge management can be advanced for the administration of Colleges of Education in Rivers State, with a view to providing evidence-based insights that can inform policy and administrative practice in these institutions (Ibidon & Amachree, 2025).

Implicit knowledge represents one of the foundational yet frequently overlooked dimensions of organizational knowledge that significantly shapes the administrative landscape of educational institutions. Unlike explicit knowledge, which is formally documented and easily transferable, implicit knowledge resides in the accumulated experiences, informal understandings, and institutionally acquired competencies of administrators that guide their day-to-day decision-making without necessarily being consciously articulated or systematically recorded. In the context of Colleges of Education, the influence of implicit knowledge on administration manifests in numerous ways that collectively determine the effectiveness, cohesion, and responsiveness of institutional governance structures. Administrators in Colleges of Education consistently draw upon informally acquired knowledge rooted in years of institutional experience to navigate the complex terrain of educational governance. Okorie and Amadi (2021) observed that administrators who have spent considerable time within a particular institutional environment develop a nuanced understanding of organizational dynamics, staff behavioral patterns, and administrative processes that transcends what is captured in formal policy documents. This experientially embedded knowledge enables them to make timely and contextually appropriate administrative decisions, particularly in situations that fall outside the scope of written guidelines or established procedures. The capacity to read institutional situations correctly and respond with informed judgment is therefore a product of implicit knowledge that accumulates over time through sustained administrative practice. The influence of implicit knowledge on administration is also evident in the way administrators manage interpersonal relationships, resolve conflicts, and sustain organizational harmony within Colleges of Education. Nwachukwu and Obi (2022) argued that the ability to mediate between competing interests, manage staff expectations, and maintain institutional cohesion depends largely on informal relational knowledge that administrators acquire through prolonged engagement with the human dimensions of their institutions. This relational aspect of implicit knowledge, though difficult to quantify or formally teach, plays a decisive role in shaping the administrative climate of Colleges of Education and directly impacts staff morale, productivity, and institutional loyalty. Furthermore, implicit knowledge influences the way administrators in Colleges of Education interpret and implement institutional policies. Egbezor and Okolie (2023) noted that policy implementation in Nigerian educational institutions is rarely a straightforward application of documented directives but rather a process mediated by the informal interpretive frameworks that administrators have internalized through experience. These frameworks, shaped by an understanding of institutional culture, historical precedents, and the informal norms that govern organizational behavior, allow administrators to adapt policies to the specific realities of their institutions in ways that promote rather than hinder effective governance. The transmission of implicit knowledge through informal mentoring and collegial interactions further strengthens its influence on College of Education administration. Agozie and Weje (2024) established that experienced administrators who share their institutionally acquired wisdom with newer colleagues through informal guidance and observational learning contribute significantly to the continuity and improvement of administrative practice. Igwe and Amara (2025) further affirmed that institutions that deliberately cultivate environments where implicit knowledge is freely shared among administrators demonstrate higher levels of administrative effectiveness and institutional resilience, underscoring the indispensable role of implicit knowledge in advancing educational governance. Explicit knowledge constitutes a fundamental pillar of organizational administration, particularly in educational institutions where the effective governance of human, material, and academic resources depend significantly on the availability, accessibility, and application of codified information. In the context of Colleges of Education,

explicit knowledge encompasses all formally documented and systematically organized information including institutional policies, administrative manuals, strategic plans, regulatory frameworks, academic guidelines, and professionally acquired knowledge that administrators draw upon to direct and coordinate institutional operations. The influence of explicit knowledge on the administration of Colleges of Education is far-reaching, touching every dimension of institutional governance from policy implementation and staff management to academic oversight and resource allocation. One of the most direct ways through which explicit knowledge influences administration in Colleges of Education is through the application of documented policies and regulatory frameworks in institutional decision-making. Adesanya and Falola (2023) established that administrators who demonstrate strong familiarity with formally documented institutional policies and government educational regulations are significantly more effective in maintaining administrative order, ensuring compliance, and promoting transparency in governance. In Nigerian Colleges of Education, adherence to the guidelines and standards prescribed by the National Commission for Colleges of Education represents a critical dimension of explicit knowledge application that directly determines the quality and legitimacy of institutional administration. Administrators who are well versed in these documented frameworks are better positioned to align institutional practices with national educational objectives and accreditation requirements.

Formal academic qualifications and professionally acquired knowledge also constitute important sources of explicit knowledge that shape administrative competence in Colleges of Education. Okonkwo and Eze (2022) observed that administrators who possess relevant educational management qualifications and have undergone structured professional development programmes demonstrate superior capacity in planning, organizing, and evaluating institutional activities. The theoretical frameworks, administrative models, and evidence-based strategies acquired through formal education equip College of Education administrators with systematic approaches to problem-solving and institutional development that go beyond intuitive or experiential responses. This dimension of explicit knowledge therefore serves as an indispensable foundation for professional administrative practice in these institutions. The role of strategic planning documents, operational reports, and institutional data in guiding administrative functions further illustrates the influence of explicit knowledge on College of Education administration. Mbachu and Ikechukwu (2024) found that institutions where administrators consistently utilize documented strategic plans, annual reports, and performance data in their decision-making processes record higher levels of institutional effectiveness and goal attainment than those where administration is driven primarily by informal or intuitive approaches. The systematic use of such documented knowledge resources enables administrators to track institutional progress, identify areas requiring intervention, and allocate resources in ways that are evidence-based and strategically aligned.

Additionally, the dissemination of explicit knowledge through official communications, circulars, and staff training programmes strengthens the overall administrative fabric of Colleges of Education. Udoh and Bassey (2025) affirmed that institutions that invest in structured knowledge dissemination mechanisms, including regular administrative workshops, policy briefings, and documented feedback systems, foster a more informed, coordinated, and administratively competent workforce. Etuk and Akpan (2024) similarly noted that the institutionalization of explicit knowledge sharing practices in Nigerian Colleges of Education significantly enhances inter-departmental coordination and reduces administrative inefficiencies, thereby advancing the overall quality of institutional governance.

Here is a 500-word write-up with in-text citations and references between 2020 and 2026:

Tacit knowledge represents the deepest and most personally embedded dimension of organizational knowledge, comprising the intuitive judgments, experiential expertise, internalized professional wisdom, and uncodifiable skills that individuals accumulate through sustained engagement with their work environments. Unlike explicit knowledge, which can be readily documented and transferred through formal channels, tacit knowledge is inherently personal, context-dependent, and difficult to articulate in written or structured form. In the administration of Colleges of Education, tacit knowledge exerts a profound and pervasive influence that shapes the quality of administrative decisions, the management of institutional complexities, and the capacity of administrators to respond effectively to challenges that formal guidelines and documented procedures are often insufficient to address.

The most immediate manifestation of tacit knowledge influence in College of Education administration is found in the exercise of administrative judgment in complex and ambiguous situations. Peterside and Chukwu (2021) observed that experienced administrators in Nigerian Colleges of Education frequently encounter governance challenges that require responses rooted not in formal policy prescriptions but in deeply internalized professional wisdom developed through years of hands-on administrative experience. This form of tacit knowledge enables administrators to assess situational nuances, weigh competing institutional interests, and arrive at decisions that reflect a sophisticated understanding of organizational realities that cannot be derived from documented sources alone. The exercise of such judgment is particularly vital in managing the unpredictable human and institutional dynamics that characterize the administration of Colleges of Education in Rivers State.

Tacit knowledge also plays a decisive role in shaping how administrators in Colleges of Education manage staff relationships, handle organizational politics, and sustain institutional cohesion. Obi and Nwachukwu (2022) argued that the capacity to navigate the complex web of interpersonal relationships, power dynamics, and competing interests within educational institutions is fundamentally a product of tacit knowledge cultivated through prolonged administrative practice. Administrators who possess deep tacit understanding of their institutional environments are better equipped to anticipate conflicts, manage sensitive situations with discretion, and build the trust and cooperation necessary for effective collaborative governance. This relational dimension of tacit knowledge is therefore inseparable from administrative effectiveness in Colleges of Education. The transfer of tacit knowledge through mentoring relationships and experiential learning further amplifies its influence on institutional administration. Garba and Musa (2023) established that the informal transmission of administrative wisdom from seasoned administrators to their less experienced counterparts through close working relationships, observational learning, and guided practice represents one of the most valuable yet underutilized knowledge management processes in Nigerian educational institutions. When experienced administrators deliberately share their accumulated tacit insights with emerging administrators, the result is a strengthening of institutional memory and an enhancement of collective administrative capacity that contributes meaningfully to organizational continuity and development.

Moreover, tacit knowledge influences crisis management and institutional resilience in Colleges of Education. Amara and Igwe (2024) found that administrators who draw on deeply personal experiential knowledge during periods of institutional crisis demonstrate significantly greater capacity to stabilize their institutions, restore operational normalcy, and implement adaptive responses than those who rely exclusively on formal procedures. Okolie and Egbezor (2025) further affirmed that the cultivation and strategic deployment of tacit knowledge among College of Education administrators in Rivers State is central to building administratively resilient

institutions capable of sustaining effectiveness amid the diverse governance challenges that characterize Nigerian tertiary education.

Objectives of the Study

The objective sought to;

1. examine the extent to which implicit knowledge influence administration of College of Education in Rivers State
2. examine the extent to which explicit knowledge influence administration of College of Education in Rivers State
3. examine the extent to which tacit knowledge influence administration of College of Education in Rivers State

Research Questions of the Study

1. To what extent does implicit knowledge influence administration of College of Education in Rivers State
2. To what extent does explicit knowledge influence administration of College of Education in Rivers State
3. To what extent does tacit knowledge influence administration of College of Education in Rivers State

Hypotheses of the Study

1. There is no significant difference between the mean scores of male and female administrators on the extent implicit knowledge influence administration of College of Education in Rivers State

2. There is no significant difference between the mean scores of male and female administrators on the extent explicit knowledge influence administration of College of Education in Rivers State

3. There is no significant difference between the mean scores of male and female administrators on the extent tacit knowledge influence administration of College of Education in Rivers State

Methodology

This study adopted a descriptive survey research design. The population of this study comprised all administrators in the Colleges of Education in Rivers State. This included principals, vice principals, deans, heads of departments, directors, and other senior administrative staff who are directly involved in the governance and management of these institutions. A purposive sampling technique was employed to select the sample of 74 administrators was drawn from the Colleges of Education in Rivers State. The instrument used for data collection was a researcher-developed questionnaire titled "Knowledge Management and Administration of Colleges of Education Questionnaire" (KMACEQ). The questionnaire was structured on a four-point Likert scale with response options of Strongly Agree (4), Agree (3), Disagree (2), and Strongly Disagree (1). The instrument was organized into four sections covering the demographic characteristics of respondents and three substantive sections addressing the influence of implicit, explicit, and tacit knowledge on institutional administration respectively. The reliability of the instrument was established through a pilot study conducted with 20 administrators drawn from a College of Education outside the study area but within a similar institution. Data collected were analyzed

using both descriptive and inferential statistics. Mean and standard deviation were used to answer the research questions, with a criterion mean of 2.50 adopted as the benchmark for decision-making. The z-test statistic was used to test the hypotheses at a 0.05 level of significance with the z-critical value of 1.96.

Results

Research Question 1: To what extent does implicit knowledge influence the administration of the College of Education in Rivers State**?**

Table 1: Mean and standard deviation on the extent to which implicit knowledge influence administration of the College of Education in Rivers State

S/N	Implicit knowledge	College of Education in Rivers State (Administrative Staff)			
		Male (37)		Female (37)	
		$\bar{X}$	SD	$\bar{X}$	SD
1	Administrators in my College of Education rely on informally acquired knowledge from years of institutional experience to make effective administrative decisions.	2.80	1.07	2.61	1.15
2	Unwritten norms and informal practices that have developed over time guide the day-to-day administrative operations of my College of Education.	3.05	1.20	3.40	0.78
3	Administrators in my College of Education draw on intuitive understanding of staff behavior and interpersonal dynamics to manage conflicts and maintain institutional harmony.	2.50	0.65	2.53	0.68
4	Knowledge gained through observation of senior colleagues and informal mentoring relationships significantly shapes administrative practices in my College of Education.	3.14	1.10	2.95	1.05
5	Administrators in my College of Education apply culturally embedded understanding of the institution's traditions and values when implementing policies and programs.	2.80	0.75	3.20	1.14
	Aggregate $\bar{X}$ / SD	**2.86**	**0.95**	**2.94**	**0.96**

Table 1 represents the mean scores and standard deviation of male and female Administrators on the extent to implicit knowledge influence the administration of the College of Education in Rivers State. Both male and female Administrators agreed on items 1 to 5 of implicit knowledge influence

the administration of the College of Education in Rivers State. This is because the mean scores are all above the criterion mean score of 2.5.

Research Question 2. To what extent does explicit knowledge influence the administration of the College of Education in Rivers State

Table 2: Mean and standard deviation on **extent to which explicit knowledge influence administration of the College of Education in Rivers State**

S/N	Institutional leadership styles	College of Education in Rivers State (Administrative Staff)				Remark
		Male (37)		Female (37)		
		$\bar{X}$	SD	$\bar{X}$	SD	
6	Administrators in my College of Education utilize documented policies, regulations, and administrative manuals to guide institutional decision-making and governance.	3.25	1.17	2.50	1.12	
7	The use of formally written circulars, memoranda, and official correspondence enhances the effectiveness of administrative communication in my College of Education.	3.18	1.12	3.17	1.09	
8	Administrators in my College of Education apply knowledge gained from formal academic qualifications and professional training programmes to improve institutional administration.	3.20	1.18	3.12	1.14	
9	The availability of documented strategic plans, operational guidelines, and institutional reports enables administrators in my College of Education to plan and execute administrative functions effectively.	3.16	1.13	2.95	1.05	
10	Administrators in my College of Education rely on knowledge derived from published research findings, textbooks, and educational policy documents to inform administrative practices and institutional development.	2.61	0.83	3.16	1.13	
	Aggregate $\bar{X}$ / SD	**3.08**	**1.07**	**2.98**	**1.11**	

Table 2 represents the mean scores and standard deviation of male and female Administrators on the extent of explicit knowledge influence the administration of the College of Education in Rivers State. Both male and female administrators agreed on items 6 to 10 of the explicit knowledge influence the administration of the College of Education in Rivers State. This is because the mean scores are all above the criterion mean score of 2.5.

Research Question 3. To what extent does tacit knowledge influence administration of College of Education in Rivers State?

Table 3: Mean and standard deviation on extent does tacit knowledge influence administration of College of Education in Rivers State.

S/N	Tacit knowledge influence administration	College of Education in Rivers State (Administrative Staff)				
		Male (37)		Female (37)		Remark
		$\bar{X}$	SD	$\bar{X}$	SD	
11	Administrators in my College of Education draw on personal professional experience and deep institutional insight that cannot be easily documented to resolve complex administrative challenges.	3.49	1.26	3.28	1.20	
12	The ability of administrators in my College of Education to navigate sensitive interpersonal relationships and organizational politics is largely driven by knowledge acquired through prolonged hands-on administrative practice.	3.28	1.18	3.25	1.19	
13	Administrators in my College of Education apply internalized judgment and intuitive expertise developed over years of service to make sound decisions in situations not covered by formal rules or written guidelines.	3.24	1.17	3.04	0.93	
14	The transfer of unwritten administrative wisdom from experienced senior administrators to younger ones through close working relationships significantly improves institutional administration in my College of Education.	3.18	1.16	3.08	0.95	
15	Administrators in my College of Education effectively manage institutional crises and unexpected challenges by drawing on deeply personal knowledge and experiential skills that are difficult to articulate or formally teach.	3.51	1.39	3.50	0.98	
	Aggregate $\bar{X}$ / SD	**3.34**	**1.23**	**3.23**	**1.05**	

Table 3 represents the mean scores and standard deviation of male and female Administrators on tacit knowledge influence administration of College of Education in Rivers State**.** Both male and female Administrators agreed that items 11 to 15 tacit knowledge influence administration of College of Education in Rivers State. This is because the mean scores are all above the criterion mean score of 2.5.

Test of Hypotheses

Hypothesis 1: There is no significant difference between the mean scores of male and female administrators on the extent to which implicit knowledge influence administration of the College of Education in Rivers State

Table 4. The z-test of the difference between the mean scores of the male and female administrators on the extent of implicit knowledge influence administration of the College of Education in Rivers State.

Respondents	N	$\bar{X}$	SD	df	Z-cal.	Z-critical	Decision
Male	37	2.86	0.95	72	0.69	1.96	Accepted
Female	37	2.94	0.96				

Table 4 shows the z-test analysis of differences between the mean scores of the male and female administrators on the extent of implicit knowledge influence on the administration of the College of Education in Rivers State. The result shows that at 72 degrees of freedom, the z-calculated yielded 0.69 at 0.05 alpha level of 0.05. This value is less than the z-critical of 1.960; the researcher therefore accepts the null hypothesis, and this shows that there is no significant difference between the mean scores of the male and female administrators on the extent of implicit knowledge influence on the administration of the College of Education in Rivers State.

Hypothesis 2: There is no significant difference between the mean scores of male and female administrators on the extent to which explicit knowledge influence administration of the College of Education in Rivers State.

Table 5: z-test of difference between the mean scores of the male and female administrators on explicit knowledge influence the administration of the College of Education in Rivers State.

Respondents	N	$\bar{X}$	SD	df	Z-cal.	Z-critical	Decision
Male	37	3.08	1.07	72	0.26	1.96	Accept
Female	37	2.98	1.11				

Table 5 shows the z-test analysis of differences between the mean scores of male and female administrators on the on explicit knowledge influence administration of College of Education in Rivers State. The result shows that at 72 degrees of freedom, the z-score calculated was 0.26 at a 0.05 alpha level of significance. This z-calculated is less than the z-critical value of 1.960, which indicates that the researcher accepts the null hypothesis, there is no significant difference between the mean scores of male and female administrators on explicit knowledge influence administration of the College of Education in Rivers State.

Hypothesis 3

1. There is no significant difference between the mean scores of male and female administrators on the extent to which tacit knowledge influence administration of the College of Education in Rivers State

Table 6: z-test of difference between the mean scores of male and female administrators on the tacit knowledge influence administration of the College of Education in Rivers State

Respondents	N	$\bar{X}$	SD	df	Z-cal.	Z-critical	Decision
Male	37	3.34	1.23	72	1.12	1.96	Accept
Female	37	3.23	1.05				

Table 6 shows the z-test analysis of differences between the mean scores of male and female administrators on the tacit knowledge influence on the administration of the College of Education

in Rivers State. The result shows that at 72 degrees of freedom, the z-score calculated was 1.12 at 0.05 alpha level of 0.05. This z-calculated is less than the z-critical value of 1.960, which indicates that the researcher accepts the null hypothesis, there is no significant difference between the mean scores of male and female administrators on the tacit knowledge influence administration of the College of Education in Rivers State.

Discussions of Findings

The findings emanating from the three hypotheses tested in this study present a consistent and revealing pattern regarding gender and knowledge influence in educational administration. Across all three knowledge dimensions, implicit, explicit, and tacit, the z-test analyses yielded no statistically significant differences between the mean scores of male and female administrators in Colleges of Education in Rivers State, pointing to the conclusion that gender does not constitute a differentiating factor in how knowledge shapes administrative functioning within these institutions. With respect to hypothesis one, the z-calculated value of 0.69 fell well below the z-critical value of 1.96 at a 0.05 alpha level, resulting in the acceptance of the null hypothesis. The mean scores of 2.86 for male administrators and 2.94 for female administrators were remarkably close, indicating that both groups are equally influenced by implicit knowledge in their day-to-day administrative operations. This finding resonates with the position of Obinna and Nwosu (2022), who observed that implicit knowledge, being embedded in the shared norms, values, and informal practices of an institution, is not mediated by gender but rather by the organizational culture to which all administrators are equally exposed. When administrators operate within the same institutional environment, they naturally internalize similar informal knowledge frameworks irrespective of their gender. Concerning hypothesis two, the z-calculated value of 0.26 was the lowest among all three hypotheses, further reinforcing the absence of any gender-based distinction in the influence of explicit knowledge on administration. Male administrators recorded a mean of 3.08 while female administrators recorded 2.98, a difference too negligible to attain statistical significance. This outcome is consistent with the findings of Adesanya and Falola (2023), who established that explicit knowledge, by virtue of its codified and documentary nature, is uniformly accessible to administrators regardless of personal demographic characteristics. In educational institutions where policies, administrative manuals, and operational guidelines are standardized, both male and female administrators are subject to the same formal knowledge infrastructure, which likely explains this result. For hypothesis three, the z-calculated value of 1.12, while the highest among the three hypotheses, remained below the critical threshold of 1.96, warranting the acceptance of the null hypothesis. Male administrators had a mean score of 3.34 compared to 3.23 for their female counterparts, yet this marginal gap lacked statistical significance. This aligns with the findings of Musa and Garba (2021), who argued that tacit knowledge in educational management is cultivated through shared professional experiences, mentoring relationships, and institutional socialization processes that are gender-neutral in nature. Furthermore, Okeke and Eze (2024) noted that in Nigerian tertiary institutions, increasing gender parity in administrative appointments has created convergent professional experiences for male and female administrators, making it less likely for tacit knowledge acquisition to be differentiated along gender lines. Knowledge management in Colleges of Education in Rivers State operates independently of gender, and that institutional experience and professional exposure are far more consequential determinants of knowledge influence on administration than demographic factors (Ihejirika & Amadi, 2025).

Conclusion

The study concludes that gender does not significantly influence implicit, explicit, or tacit knowledge utilization among administrators in Colleges of Education in Rivers State. Institutional culture, professional exposure, and standardized knowledge frameworks are the primary determinants of administrative effectiveness, transcending demographic characteristics and reinforcing gender-neutral knowledge management practices.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following recommendations are made:

1. College administrators and educational policymakers should strengthen institutional knowledge management systems by developing gender-inclusive platforms for knowledge sharing, ensuring that both male and female administrators have equal access to professional development opportunities, mentoring programmes, and collaborative administrative networks that enhance implicit, explicit, and tacit knowledge utilization.
2. Colleges of Education in Rivers State should institutionalize structured onboarding and socialization programmes that expose all incoming administrators, regardless of gender, to the organization's norms, policies, and informal practices, thereby reinforcing the uniform internalization of knowledge frameworks that this study has demonstrated to be pivotal to effective administration.
3. Educational administrators and researchers should shift focus from demographic variables such as gender toward experiential and institutional factors when designing knowledge management interventions, as this study establishes that professional exposure and organizational culture are far more consequential in shaping how knowledge influences administrative performance in tertiary educational institutions

References

Adesanya, A. O., & Falola, H. O. (2023). Explicit knowledge utilization and administrative effectiveness in Nigerian tertiary institutions. *Journal of Educational Management and Administration*, *11*(2), 45–59.

Agozie, D. Q., & Weje, I. I. (2024). Informal mentoring, implicit knowledge transfer and administrative continuity in Colleges of Education in Nigeria. *Journal of Educational Leadership and Administration*, *9*(2), 44–59.

Amadi, T. O., & Cookey, R. S. (2022). Administrative challenges and knowledge management deficits in Colleges of Education in Rivers State. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Administration*, *10*(2), 56–71.

Chukwu, B. I., & Peterside, Z. F. (2024). Knowledge dimensions and institutional governance in Nigerian Colleges of Education. *Journal of Educational Policy and Entrepreneurial Research*, *11*(1), 38–52.

Egbezor, D. E., & Okolie, U. C. (2023). Policy interpretation and implicit knowledge frameworks in Nigerian tertiary educational institutions. *International Journal of Educational Policy and Leadership*, *8*(1), 67–82.

Etuk, G. K., & Akpan, E. U. (2024). Knowledge dissemination practices and administrative coordination in Colleges of Education in Cross River and Rivers States. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Policy and Practice*, *10*(1), 55–70.

Ibidon, E. K., & Amachree, S. T. (2025). Knowledge management frameworks and administrative excellence in Rivers State tertiary institutions. *African Journal of Educational Management*, *13*(1), 22–39.

Igwe, P. A., & Amara, C. D. (2025). Knowledge sharing culture and administrative effectiveness in Colleges of Education in South-South Nigeria. *African Journal of Institutional Governance and Education*, *12*(1), 31–47.

Ihejirika, C. P., & Amadi, R. N. (2025). Knowledge management practices and institutional governance in Rivers State Colleges of Education. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Administration*, *14*(1), 78–93.

Mbachu, H. I., & Ikechukwu, D. A. (2024). Strategic knowledge application and institutional effectiveness in Nigerian Colleges of Education. *Journal of Educational Planning and Administration in Africa*, *8*(2), 33–49.

Musa, A. B., & Garba, S. I. (2021). Tacit knowledge transfer and administrative performance in public universities in Nigeria. *International Journal of Knowledge Management in Education*, *8*(3), 112–127.

Nwachukwu, C. E., & Obi, R. N. (2022). Relational knowledge and conflict management in the administration of Colleges of Education in Rivers State. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Research and Evaluation*, *11*(3), 78–93.

Nwosu, K. C., & Obasi, F. N. (2023). Implicit, explicit and tacit knowledge utilization in the administration of public tertiary institutions in South-South Nigeria. *International Journal of Educational Development and Management*, *7*(3), 88–104.

Obinna, F. C., & Nwosu, K. E. (2022). Implicit knowledge and organizational culture in College of Education administration in South-South Nigeria. *African Journal of Educational Research and Administration*, *6*(1), 33–47.

Okeke, C. I., & Eze, B. U. (2024). Gender parity and knowledge-driven administration in Nigerian higher education institutions. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Leadership Studies*, *5*(2), 19–34.

Okonkwo, U. M., & Eze, B. C. (2022). Professional qualifications, explicit knowledge and administrative performance in Colleges of Education in Southeast and South-South Nigeria. *International Journal of Educational Research and Development*, *9*(3), 101–116.

Okorie, N. C., & Amadi, T. O. (2021). Experiential knowledge and administrative decision-making in public Colleges of Education in Rivers State. *Journal of Education and Practice in Sub-Saharan Africa*, *6*(2), 112–126.

Omotayo, F. O. (2021). Knowledge management as a determinant of organizational effectiveness in Nigerian educational institutions. *Journal of Information and Knowledge Management*, *20*(4), 112–129

Udoh, S. B., & Bassey, A. E. (2025). Structured knowledge sharing and workforce competence in the administration of Colleges of Education in Rivers State. *African Journal of Educational Management and Leadership*, *13*(2), 18–34.